

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/098,758	06/17/1998	TOD C. DUVALL	2543-28-93	4469
26694 7:	590 03/29/2002			
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			EXAMINER	
			MULCAHY, PETER D	
	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	2.3
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002	23

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				10-23	
		Application No.	Applicant(s		_
م تم		09/098,758	DUVALL, T	OD C.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		_
		Peter D. Mulcahy	1713		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	eet with the corresponde	nce address	
Stat		36(a). In no event, however, or within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6, cause the application to become a few of this communication, or the state of the st	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be conside MONTHS from the mailing date ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	of this communication. 133).	
•	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 F</u>				
2	/-	is action is non-final.			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under				
-	oosition of Claims	nlication			
•	 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-11</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are with 		ation		
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iurawii irom considen	ation.		
	6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-9 is/are rejected.				
	7)∐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. B)∏ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	nt		
	lication Papers	election requiremen	ıt.		
	9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.	•		
10	D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	pted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1	.85(a).	
1	1)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b) disapproved by the E	Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Office action.			
12	2) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
1:	3)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:			•	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	i .		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	d in Application No	<u> </u>	
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	ational Stage	
14	.)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a prov	risional application).	
15	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pro \square Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •		l.	
	chment(s)	•	••		
2) 🗀	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	erview Summary (PTO-413) Pa ice of Informal Patent Applica er:		

Serial No. 09/098,758

Art Unit 1713

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snell taken alone or in view of Pollock.

The rejection as set forth under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in Paper No. 19 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.

Applicants advance the argument that the polyimines of Snell should be excluded from the claimed composition because they would act as amines in the claimed composition and thus have a material effect on the basic and novel characteristics of the acidic mercaptan therein. This is not persuasive. The Snell patent is directed to stabilizer compositions. The instant invention is directed to stabilizer compositions. While the activity of the polyimines of Snell is somewhat questionable, it is unclear as to exactly how this ingredient would change the basic and novel characteristics of the stabilizer composition claimed. This is to say that the polyimines of Snell function

Serial No. 09/098,758

Art Unit 1713

acceptably within the stabilizer compositions as shown and applicants have failed to identify exactly how the stabilizer composition of the instantly claimed invention would have its basic and novel characteristics materially changed by the incorporation of the polyimines of Snell.

Applicants then argue that the Pollock patent teaches that the mercapto acid or mercapto alcohol alone impart no stabilizing effect upon polyvinyl chloride resins but in combination with the organotin mercaptide reduces the development of discoloration.

Applicants argue that there is no suggestion that another metallic salt may be substituted for the organotin mercaptide. It is concluded that the organotin mercaptide is excluded from the scope of the claims. This is not persuasive. Applicants have failed to show or allege how the organotin mercaptide would change the basic and novel characteristics of the instantly claimed invention. As such, the claims remain unpatentable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

P. Mulcahy:cdc March 25, 2002

PETER D. MULCAHY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500